

Proposed Action Title: NEPA 4818, rev 2, Direct Chip Melt – Bottom Loading Furnace

Program or Field Office: Y-12 National Security Complex, NNSA Production Office

Location(s) (City/County/State): Oak Ridge /Anderson / Tennessee

Proposed Action Description:

This categorical exclusion determination documents National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) decision to design, procure, and install four bottom loading chip melt furnaces to replicate and replace briquetting and casting/burning process in Building 9212. The four furnaces would be installed in Building 9215. The project was initially proposed and reviewed in 2016 (NEPA 4777 and 4818), updated in 2019 (NEPA 4818, rev 1), and in 2021 (NEPA 4818, rev 2). NNSA will further consider alternatives to this proposed action in an Analysis of Alternatives, assessing alternate locations, costs, and risks. NNSA concurs with previous internal reviews that the scope of the project meets the applied categorical exclusion (B1.31) per NCO-approved Y/TS-2312, General Categorical Exclusion, dated March 2012.

The existing Direct Chip Melt process would not change - chip collection in hospital cans, prep activities (rinsing, decanting, glycol prep, and stacked configuration), and melting chips in a furnace to the needed configuration. The scope of this proposed action is to design/procure/install four bottom loading chip furnaces for the purposes of the Direct Chip Melt production process, which would include the demolition of a plenum, the modification and/or installation of the emission exhaust duct work, HEPA filtration system, glovebox, inert gas cooling, fissile material storage, weigh scales, process controls and monitoring system, and utility tie-ins.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:

B1.31 – Installation or relocation of machinery and equipment

B1.23 – Demolition and disposal of buildings

B1.27 – *Disconnection of utilities*

For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions, including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Subpart D of <u>10 CFR Part 1021</u>.

Regulatory Requirements in 10 CFR 1021.410(b):

The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. See paragraph above for specific categorical exclusion(s) applied.

The classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B include conditions that are integral elements of the class of actions which must be satisfied in order to determine that a proposal is categorically excluded under Appendix B. Specifically, a proposal must be one that would not:

- (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders;
- (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities;
- (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases;
 - (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to:
 - (i) Property (such as sites, buildings, structures, and objects) of historic, archeological, or architectural significance designated by a Federal, state, or local government, Federally recognized Indian tribe, or Native Hawaiian organization, or property determined to be eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;
 - (ii) Federally-listed threatened or endangered species or their habitat (including critical habitat) or Federally-proposed or candidate species or their habitat (Endangered Species Act); state-listed or stateproposed endangered or threatened species or their habitat; Federally-protected marine mammals and Essential Fish Habitat (Marine Mammal Protection Act; Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and

Management Act); and otherwise Federally-protected species (such as the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act or the Migratory Bird Treaty Act);

- (iii) Floodplains and wetlands (as defined in 10 CFR 1022.4, "Compliance with Floodplain and Wetland Environmental Review Requirements: Definitions," or its successor);
- (iv) Areas having a special designation such as Federally- and state-designated wilderness areas, national parks, national monuments, national natural landmarks, wild and scenic rivers, state and Federal wildlife refuges, scenic areas (such as National Scenic and Historic Trails or National Scenic Areas), and marine sanctuaries;
- (v) Prime or unique farmland, or other farmland of statewide or local importance, as defined at 7 CFR 658.2(a), "Farmland Protection Policy Act: Definitions," or its successor;
- (vi) Special sources of water (such as sole-source aquifers, wellhead protection areas, and other water sources that are vital in a region); and
- (vii) Tundra, coral reefs, or rain forests;

□ 5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. Extraordinary circumstances are unique situations presented by specific proposals, including, but not limited to, scientific controversy about the environmental effects of the proposal; uncertain effects or effects involving unique or unknown risks; and unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources.

The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. Segmentation can occur when a proposal is broken down into small parts in order to avoid the appearance of significance of the total action. The scope of a proposal must include the consideration of connected and cumulative actions, that is, the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or §1021.211 of this part concerning limitations on actions during EIS preparation.

Based on my review of the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA Compliance Officer:

Date Determined:

(This form will be locked for editing upon signature)